

25 July 2023

Sydney Recycling Park Pty Ltd 16-23 Clifton Avenue Kemps Creek, NSW 2178 CES Document Reference: CES110507-WAE-IP Rev 3

For the attention of Mr Peter Toole.

# RE: Sydney Recycling Park, 16 to 23 Clifton Avenue, Kemps Creek: Development Application DA22/0756 for the Construction of Sheds and Associated Infrastructure - Wastewater Assessment Report

Dear Sirs,

### 1) INTRODUCTION

Consulting Earth Scientists Pty Ltd (CES) has been requested by Sydney Recycling Park Pty Ltd (the Client) to undertake a Wastewater Assessment for the new development proposed at Sydney Recycling Park located at 16-23 Clifton Avenue, Kemps Creek NSW (the site).

The proposed development that is the subject of Development Application number DA22/0756, relates to the proposed construction of two sheds and associated earthworks, retaining walls, driveways, hardstand areas, drainage works and landscaping.

This Wastewater Assessment Report is provided in response to Penrith City Council correspondence referenced: DA22/0756 dated 23 May 2023 from Mr. Robert Walker (Senior Development Assessment Planner) and revised based on correspondence referenced DA22/0756 dated 06 July 2023 from Mr. Robert Walker (Senior Development Assessment Planner).

### 2) BACKGROUND

As described in CES report *Sydney Recycling Park, Clifton Avenue, Kemps Creek: Infiltration Investigation*, (CES document reference: CES110507-WAE-DI Rev 2 03 November 2017)), effluent generated and treated onsite is currently disposed of via surface irrigation within a designated Effluent Disposal Area. The current Effluent Disposal Area

#### **CONSULTING EARTH SCIENTISTS PTY LTD**

Suite 3, Level 1 55 Grandview Street Pymble NSW 2073 Australia T 02 8569 2200 F 02 9983 0582 W www.consultingearth.com.au ABN 67 151 524 757



comprises an area of approximately  $450 \text{ m}^2$  and is located to the northeast of the weighbridge at the location shown in Figure 1.

In consideration of the proposed new development and to address matters raised in Penrith City Council correspondence of 23 May 2023, it is proposed to construct a Wisconsin mound for use for effluent disposal in place of the current Effluent Disposal Area. The Wisconsin mound is proposed to be constructed in the north-east of the site at the location shown in Figure 1.

This Wastewater Assessment report has been prepared in general accordance with the procedural requirements outlined in the Australian Standard (AS/NZS 1547:2012) *On-Site Domestic Wastewater Management* (2012) and the requirements of Council's *On-site Sewage Management and Greywater Reuse Policy* (Penrith City Council, 2014).

### 3) SITE INFORMATION

#### 3.1 SITE DESCRIPTION

The site is formally identified as Lot 230 in Deposited Plan (DP) 1134016. It is located at 16 to 22 Clifton Avenue Kemps Creek, New South Wales, and is situated within the Local Government Area (LGA) of the City of Penrith.

### 3.2 PROPOSED EFFLUENT DISPOSAL METHOD

Based on site constraints, including the available site area, the development landscape plan and in order to minimise the area required for effluent disposal, a Wisconsin mound has been proposed as a new effluent disposal solution in place of surface spray irrigation.

The new proposed Wisconsin mound is located in the north-east of the site at the location shown in Figure 1. The proposed mound footprint comprises an area of approximately 15.3 m long and 9.2 m wide  $(142 \text{ m}^2)$  with a 10 % slope to the west, located to the north of the proposed development and west of a bund wall which extends along the eastern site boundary.

Table K1 of AS1547:2012 identifies that a maximum slope of 15% is suitable for Wisconsin mound effluent disposal methods.

As inferred from the available architectural plans (Annexure D), the proposed mound is at an elevation of approximately 63 m Australian Height Datum (AHD).

The proposed Wisconsin mound is outside of the landscape plan submitted as part of the development application.



### 3.3 HYDROGEOLOGY

Information on groundwater flow direction is not currently available for the site, however based on the surrounding topography and the site's upgradient positioning relative to Kemps Creek (located approximately 580m north-east of the site), it is likely that groundwater flow will follow a north-westerly / easternly course towards the creek.

A review of the Hydrogeology Map of Australia, Commonwealth of Australia (Geoscience Australia) indicates that the site is likely to be underlain by porous, extensive aquifers of low to moderate productivity.

### 3.4 GROUNDWATER BORE SEARCH

A search of the Bureau of Meteorology - Australian Department of Primary Industries Office of Water database (<u>https://realtimedata.waternsw.com.au/</u>, accessed 07 June 2023) indicates that there are nine (9) registered groundwater wells located within 1000m of the site. Eight (8) of the registered wells are used for environmental monitoring purposes, the closest registered well is for commercial/industrial purposes, located approximately 158 m west of the western site boundary.

### 3.5 GROUNDWATER DEPTH

CES undertakes routine quarterly groundwater monitoring of seven (7) groundwater monitoring wells located within the boundary of the site, as part of the requirements of Environmental Protection License (EPL) No. 12901. As recorded during the most recent monitoring event conducted in May 2023, standing groundwater levels were measured to be between 9.82m Below Top of Casing (BTOC) and 19.50m BTOC at the site. Assuming a typical well top of casing height of 1 m, groundwater is understood to be between 8.2 and 18.5 m below ground level.

### 4) WASTEWATER MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

### 4.1 EFFLUENT PRODUCTION

The existing wastewater system collects and processes effluent produced onsite from the use of toilets and hand basins in the absence of a connection to a sewer main. CES has been informed by the Client that the landfill facility normally operates with a staff of ten (10) persons.



#### 4.2 EFFLUENT STORAGE, TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL

Effluent generated onsite is collected by a BioSeptic Performa 2000 (or similar) Aerated Wastewater Treatment System (AWTS); a two-tank concrete wastewater system comprising a septic collection tank and accompanying treatment tank. Based on a review of available service records and information listed on the BioSeptic manufacturers website, the septic tank system has the capacity to hold approximately 3750 litres of liquid and can treat up to 1500 litres per day. As advised by the Client, the system is serviced on a quarterly basis and no issues with the system have been reported.

Effluent is treated by means of settling, chlorination, and aeration before being disposed of at the designated effluent disposal area via four surface irrigation sprayers.

As such the effluent for disposal is considered to represent system disinfected, secondary treated effluent.

#### 5) GROUND INVESTIGATION

#### 5.1 FIELDWORK

The ground investigation for the proposed location was conducted on 30 May 2023. The fieldwork comprised infiltration testing in three (3) hand augured boreholes and the undertaking of constant head permeability tests in each borehole. The infiltration rate of the soil was evaluated over zones ranging from 0.3 m to 0.4 m depth.

The boreholes were drilled by an experienced Associate Environmental Engineer who located the boreholes, logged, and assessed the soil conditions encountered and conducted the permeability testing.

#### 5.2 SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

The subsurface conditions encountered in the boreholes comprised:

• Ground level to termination depth of the boreholes 0.3m to 0.4m: FILL consisting of Sandy Clay: medium plasticity, brown, with trace amounts of subrounded to subangular gravel. The fill was assessed to be in a moist condition at the time of the fieldwork.



### 5.3 PERMEABILITY TESTING

Constant head permeability testing was conducted in all three boreholes (BH1 to BH3). A permeameter assembled in accordance with the requirements of Section G3 of the AS/NZS 1547:2012, was used to undertake the testing at each of the borehole locations. Following the completion of testing the boreholes were backfilled with borehole arisings.

The soil permeability was calculated from each test result using the equation provided in Section G6 of the AS/NZS 1547:2012.

#### 5.3.1 Permeability Test Results

The results of the permeability test are summarised as follows; the test results are enclosed in Annexure B to this report:

- BH1: 2.83 x 10<sup>-6</sup> metres/second (m/s)
- BH2: 3.57 x 10<sup>-6</sup> m/s.
- BH3: Result discounted due to failure to achieve adequate test seal.

In order to provide a conservative estimate, a factor of safety of 2 has been applied to the meanvalue of the accepted soil permeability test results, a saturated permeability of  $1.6 \times 10^{-6}$  m/s has been adopted.

### 5.4 SOIL ASSESSMENT

In consideration of the soil conditions observed and logged, assessment of soil structure and texture and in consideration of the soil permeability test results, the proposed mound is assessed to be underlain by soil of Category 4 (Clay loams) as defined in AS/NZS 1547:2012.

### 6) WISCONSIN MOUND DESIGN

The following guideline documents and references have been used to evaluate the requirements for the proposed Wisconsin mound:

- Australian Standard AS1547-2012, On-site Domestic Wastewater Management (AS1547-2012)
- Penrith City Council's *On-site Sewage Management and Greywater Reuse Policy* (Penrith City Council, 2014)
- Environment & Health Protection Guidelines: On-site Sewage Management for Single Households (Department of Local Government 1998)



- Designing and Installing On-Site Wastewater Systems A WaterNSW Current Recommended Practice (Catchment Protection, WaterNSW 2019).
- Wisconsin Mound Soil Adsorption System Sitting Design and Construction Manual (Converse and Tyler, 2000)

Based on Table B1 of Penrith City Council (2014), the on-site effluent source and distribution type has been categorised as 'Rural factories' and 'reticulated community', respectively. Under this classification, a typical wastewater flow allowance of 50 Litres/person/day is provided. Based on the assumption that the 10 staff members present on-site use approximately 50 litres of water per person per day, and assuming that 100% of this water becomes irrigated effluent, a value of 500 L/day has been adopted as the design flow rate.

Based on Appendix N of AS1547-2012 the following design parameters were adopted:

- Maximum Aggregate Loading Rate 40 mm/day [Section N2.2]
- Maximum Linear Loading Rate 50 L/m/day [Section N2.2]
- Basal Area Loading Rate 8 mm/day [Table N1]

#### 6.1 MOUND DESIGN REQUIREMENTS

The calculated Wisconsin mound design dimensions are presented on Figure 2 and Table 1.

The calculations are presented in Annexure C.

| Design Dimension                      | Source                    |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| A = 1.25 m                            | Calculated in Annexure C. |
| B = 10 m                              | Calculated in Annexure C. |
| I = 2.6 m                             | Calculated in Annexure C. |
| J = 5.4 m                             | Calculated in Annexure C. |
| D = 600  mm                           | AS1547-2012 Figure N1     |
| F = 225 mm                            | AS1547-2012 Figure N1     |
| G = 300  mm                           | AS1547-2012 Figure N1     |
| H = 450 mm                            | AS1547-2012 Figure N1     |
| E = 725 mm                            | Calculated in Annexure C. |
| K = 2.7 m                             | Calculated in Annexure C. |
| Overall Mound Width = $9.2 \text{ m}$ | Calculated in Annexure C. |
| Overall Mound Length = 15.3 m         | Calculated in Annexure C. |



The design dimensions presented in Table 1 require a total mound footprint of 142 m<sup>2</sup>, as presented on Figure 1.

As required by AS1547-2012 and EH002 Section 2.1.3 a reserve area of 100% of the designed disposal area is required to be reserved for the resting of the disposal area, or for the duplication or upgrade of the disposal area if it is required at some future time. The reserve area shall be protected from any development that would prevent it being used in the future.

Based on the requirements a reserved area of  $142 \text{ m}^2$  is required. The proposed reserved area is also presented on Figure 1.

### 6.2 WISCONSIN MOUND CONSTRUCTION

The Wisconsin mound should be constructed in accordance with Appendix N of AS1547-2012 based on the design dimensions on Figure 2 and presented in Table 2.

Once constructed the mound should be finished with a minimum of 150 mm of topsoil and planted with grasses adapted to the local area. Moisture tolerant species should be planted near the base of the mound, and drought tolerant species on top of the mound.

#### 6.3 BUFFER DISTANCES

The location of the new proposed Wisconsin mound for effluent disposal is show in Figure 1. The proposed mound and reserve area is located:

- Greater than 1km from the nearest domestic groundwater well.
- The closest permanent surface water body, Kemps Creek is located approximately 580 m north-east of the site.
- Greater than 40 m from the nearest stormwater drain.
- Greater than 1 m from the dripline of native trees and shrubs
- Approximately 65 m from the closest dwelling.
- Approximately 24 m north-east of the proposed new shed (Shed 3).
- Greater than 24 m to the west of the eastern site boundary fence.
- Approximately 75 m south of the northern site boundary fence
- More than 110 m and 180 m north of the landfill staff car park and site offices, respectively.
- The proposed mound is not in an area of site normally accessed by site staff.



As such the proposed mound location complies with the buffer distances stated in Table 3 of Penrith City Council (2014) and is not in a location frequented by site staff.

### 7) SUMMARY & RECOMMENDATIONS

This Wastewater Assessment included undertaking a desktop review of the wastewater management system used on-site and completing a ground investigation at a proposed new effluent disposal location evaluate the infiltration conditions and determine suitable design considerations for the Wisconsin mound.

Based on the results of the assessment, the following is summarised:

- Assumed irrigation effluent volume is 500 L/day.
- A Wisconsin mound with a total footprint of  $142 \text{ m}^2$  is required.
- The Wisconsin mound should be constructed in accordance with Appendix N of AS1547-2012 based on the design dimensions on Figure 2 and presented in Table 2.
- The proposed mound is not within any buffer constraints and is not in an area of site normally accessed by site staff.
- Once constructed the mound should be finished with a minimum of 150 mm of topsoil and planted with grasses.

Notwithstanding the above, it is recommended that signage and fencing be established in accordance with AS1547-2012 to prevent inadvertent access to the effluent disposal mound.

### 8) LIMITATIONS OF THIS REPORT

This report has been prepared for use by the client who commissioned the works in accordance with the project brief and based on information provided by the client. The advice contained in this report relates only to the current project and all results, conclusions and recommendations should be reviewed by a competent person with experience in geotechnical and environmental investigations before being used for any other purpose.

Consulting Earth Scientists Pty Ltd (CES) accepts no liability for use or interpretation by any person or body other than the client. This report must not be reproduced except in full and must not be amended in any way without prior approval by the client and CES.



This report does not provide a complete assessment of the geotechnical or environmental status of the site and is limited to the scope defined therein. Should information become available regarding conditions at the site including previously unknown sources of contamination, CES reserves the right to review the report in the context of the additional information.

For and on behalf of Consulting Earth Scientists Pty Ltd

E lave

Duncan Lowe Principal

THE

Tristan Goodbody Associate Environmental Engineer



Enclosed:

- Annexure A: Figure 1: Proposed Irrigation Area
- Annexure B: Constant Head Permeability Test Results
- Annexure C: Wisconsin Mound Design Calculations
- Annexure D: Architectural Plans of Proposed Development
- Annexure E: Permeability Test Field Data Sheets



Annexure A Figures 1 and 2







# Annexure B

# **Constant Head Permeability Test Results**

### **BH1 - Soil Permeability Calculations**

| Q Value |                     |   |
|---------|---------------------|---|
| R       | 100 cm              | Radius of Permeameter                           |
| Δh      | 0.4 cm              | Steadystate drop in water height in permeameter |
| t       | 25 min              | Time of steady state drops                      |
| Q       | 5.026548246 cm3/min | Volumetric flow rate to borehole                |

$$Q = \frac{\pi R^2 \Delta h}{t}$$

#### **Ksat Value**

| а    | 30 cm             | Borehole drilled depth                          |
|------|-------------------|---|
| b    | 10 cm             | Depth of outlet                                 |
| r    | 4.5 cm            | Radius of borehole                              |
| Н    | 20 cm             | Depth of water in test hole a-b                 |
| Q    | 5.026548246 cm3/r | nin   |
| Ksat | 0.016954729 cm/m  | in Saturated hydraulic conductivity of the soil |
| Ksat | 2.82579E-06 m/s   |   |

where:

K<sub>sat</sub> = saturated hydraulic conductivity of the soil in cm/min

4.4 = correction factor for a systematic under-estimate of soil permeability in the mathematical derivation of the equation

- Q = rate of loss of water from the reservoir in cm<sup>3</sup>/min
- H = depth of water in the test hole in cm

r = radius of the test hole in cm

# **BH2 - Soil Permeability Calculations**

#### Q Value

| R  | 100 cm              | Radius of Permeameter                           |
|----|---------------------|---|
| Δh | 0.5 cm              | Steadystate drop in water height in permeameter |
| t  | 10 min              | Time of steady state drops                      |
| Q  | 15.70796327 cm3/min | Volumetric flow rate to borehole                |

$$Q = \frac{\pi R^2 \Delta h}{t}$$

#### **Ksat Value**

| u<br>r | 10          | cm      | Padius of borebole          |     |
|--------|-------------|---------|-----------------------------|-----|
| I<br>L | 4.5         | cm      | Nadius of borenoie          | a h |
|        | 55          |         | Depth of water in test noie | d-D |
| Q      | 15.70796327 | cm3/min |                             |     |
|        |             |         |                             |     |
|        |             |         |                             |     |

| Ksat | 0.021398154 cm/min | Saturated hydraulic conductivity of the soil |
|------|--------------------|--|
| Ksat | 3.56636E-06 m/s    |  |

where:

| Keat = | saturated | hydraulic | conductivity | of the | soil in | cm/min |
|--------|-----------|-----------|--------------|--------|---------|--------|
|--------|-----------|-----------|--------------|--------|---------|--------|

4.4 = correction factor for a systematic under-estimate of soil permeability in the mathematical derivation of the equation

- Q = rate of loss of water from the reservoir in cm<sup>3</sup>/min
- H = depth of water in the test hole in cm
- r = radius of the test hole in cm

# **BH3 - Soil Permeability Calculations**

### Q Value

| R  | 100 cm              | Radius of Permeameter                            |
|----|---------------------|--|
| Δh | 0.1 cm              | Steadystate drop in water height in permeameter* |
| t  | 10 min              | time of steady state drops                       |
| Q  | 3.141592654 cm3/min | Volumetric flow rate to borehole                 |

$$Q = \frac{\pi R^2 \Delta h}{t}$$

Ksat

| Ksat | 0.005086032 cm/min  | Saturated hydraulic conductivity of the s | oil |
|------|---------------------|---|-----|
| Q    | 3.141592654 cm3/min |   |     |
| Н    | 30 cm               | depth of water in test hole a-b           |     |
| r    | 4.5 cm              | Radius of borehole                        |     |
| b    | 10 cm               | depth of outlet                           |     |
| а    | 40 cm               | Borehole drilled depth                    |     |
|      |                     |   |     |

where:

Ksat = saturated hydraulic conductivity of the soil in cm/min

- 4.4 = correction factor for a systematic under-estimate of soil permeability in the mathematical derivation of the equation
- Q = rate of loss of water from the reservoir in cm<sup>3</sup>/min
- H = depth of water in the test hole in cm
- r = radius of the test hole in cm



# Annexure C Wisconsin Mound Design

| Adsorpton Area Width   | A<br><b>A</b> | = (Maximum Linear<br><b>1.25 m</b> | Loading Rate/Agrega    | te Loading Rate)   |
|------------------------|---------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|--|
| Adsorption Area Length | В<br><b>В</b> | =(Design Flow Rate,<br><b>10 m</b> | /Maximum Linear Loa    | ding Rate)   |
| Basal Width            | = A + J       |                                    | for sloping sites (>3  | 3% slope)  |
| Basal Width            | =A + J        | = (Maximum Linear<br>6.25 m        | Loading Rate/Basal L   | oading Rate)   |
|                        | J             | (Basal Width - A)                  |                        |  |
|                        | J             | 5 m                                | Basal Width J Calcu    | altion   |
|                        | D             | 600 mm                             | Figure N1              |  |
|                        | F             | 225 mm                             | Figure N1              |  |
|                        | G             | 300 mm                             | Figure N1              |  |
|                        | Н             | 450 mm                             | Figure N1              |  |
|                        | E             | = D + A*Slope Grad                 | e                      |  |
| Unclone Width          |               | -2*(D + E + C)* Upc                | long Correction Easte  | a contract of the second s |
|                        |               | -3 (D+F+G) Ops                     |                        | 1  |
|                        | •             | 2596.75 11111                      | 2.6 m                  |  |
| Slope Length           | К             | =3*((D+E)/2 + F + H                | )                      |  |
|                        | К             | 2662.5 mm                          | 2.7 m                  |  |
| Check Basal Width      | J             | =3(E + F +G)*Downs                 | slope Correction Facto | or   |
|                        | J             | 5400 mm                            | 5.4 m                  | Slope Length J Calculation (adoped J value)  |
| Overall Length         | L             | = B + 2K                           |                        |  |
|                        | L             | 15.3 m                             |                        |  |
| Overall Width          | W             | =l + A + J                         |                        |  |
|                        | w             | 9.2 m                              |                        |  |
| Overall Area           |               | =W*L                               |                        |  |
|                        |               | 141.7 m <sup>2</sup>               |                        |  |

| Design Flow Rate                  | 500 L/day  | Site Infromation          |
|-----------------------------------|------------|---------------------------|
| Maximum Linear Loading Rate       | 50 L/m/day | AS1547-2012               |
| Agregate Loading Rate             | 40 mm/day  | AS1547-2012               |
| Basal Area Loading Rate           | 8 mm/day   | AS1547-2012               |
| Upslope Slope Correction Factor   | 0.77       | (Converse and Tyler 2000) |
| Downslope Slope Correction Factor | 1.44       | (Converse and Tyler 2000) |
| Slope Grade                       | 0.1        | Site Information          |



FIGURE N1 WISCONSIN MOUND SYSTEM





# Annexure D

# **Architectural Plans of Proposed Development**



|                  | BY | DATE    |
|------------------|----|---------|
| SHED No.2 E      | BF | 23/8/21 |
| GENE             | BF | 11/4/22 |
| PROPOSED         | BF | 31/5/22 |
| TRUCK T          | BF | 6/6/22  |
| EXISTING SHED SI | CD | 20/7/22 |
| AMENDMENTS IN A  | CD | 11/8/22 |
| А                | CD | 15/2/23 |





|               | DATE    | BY | REVISION                               |
|---------------|---------|----|--|
| PEX           | 23/8/21 | BF | SHED No.2 EAVE EXTENSIONS ADDED        |
| ST            | 11/4/22 | BF | ROOF SPLIT AND SCHEDULE OF FINISHES AD |
| D IN<br>ISENT | 1/6/22  | BF | PROPOSED SHED SPLIT INTO 2 SHEDS       |
| NTED TO       | 15/2/23 | CD | AMENDED FOR DA                         |
| ED.           |         |    |  |
|               |         |    |  |

NOT BE DUPLICATED AND THE INFORMATION CONTAINED THEM MUST NOT BE USED WITHOUT PRIOR WRITTEN CONS OF APEX BUILDING SYSTEMS PTY. LTD. PERMISSION IS GRANT APEX BUILDING SYSTEMS CLIENT TO REPRODUCE COPIES F HARDCOPIES OR PRINT-ONLY ELECTRONIC FILES. ELECTRO FILES WHICH CAN BE MODIFIED WILL NOT BE PROVIDED





| DING ELEMENT      | MATERIAL                | COLOUR        |
|-------------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| F CLADDING        | COLORBOND TRIMDEK STEEL | SURFMIST      |
| /ER WALL CLADDING | COLORBOND TRIMDEK STEEL | WALLABY       |
| HER WALL CLADDING | COLORBOND TRIMDEK STEEL | DUNE          |
| TERS & TRIMS      | POWDERCOAT STEEL        | WOODLAND GREY |
| LER SHUTTERS      | POWDERCOAT STEEL        | DUNE MATCH    |
| ESS DOORS         | POWDERCOAT STEEL        | DUNE MATCH    |

| DRAWN<br>BAILEY FRANKS<br>10/8/21 | PROPOSED ELEVATIONS   | SCALE<br>1 : 200<br>@ A0 |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| CHECKED                           | CLIENT :              | DRAWING No.              |
|                                   | SYDNEY RECYCLING PARK | 2064 / 003D              |



SECTION A - A (LOOKING NORTH)



#### SECTION B - B (LOOKING NORTH)

| ſ | COPYRIGHT:<br>THE COPYRIGHT IN THESE DOCUMENTS IS OWNED BY APEX  | DATE<br>1/6/22 | BY<br>BF | REVISION<br>PROPOSED SHED SPLIT INTO 2 SHEDS | ISSUE<br>A |   |  | SITE DESCRIPTION:<br>16 - 23 CLIFTON AVENUE | DRAWN<br>BAILEY FRANKS |  |
|---|--|----------------|----------|--|------------|---|--|---|------------------------|--|
|   | NOT BE DUPLICATED AND THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN<br>THEM MUST NOT BE USED WITHOUT PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT            | 15/2/23        | CD       | AMENDED FOR DA                               | В          |   | BUILDING SYSTEMS PTY LTD   | KEMPS CREEK                                 | 23/8/21                |  |
|   | OF APEX BUILDING SYSTEMS PTY. LTD. PERMISSION IS GRANTED TO<br>APEX BUILDING SYSTEMS CLIENT TO REPRODUCE COPIES FROM |                |          |  |            |   | ABN 38 619 248 993<br>32 Walker Street Windsor NSW 2756 (PO Box 853)<br>Telephone: 02 4587 7130<br>Fav: 02 4587 7130 | LOT 230 DF 1134010                          | CHECKED                |  |
|   | HARDCOPIES OR PRINT-ONLY ELECTRONIC FILES. ELECTRONIC<br>FILES WHICH CAN BE MODIFIED WILL NOT BE PROVIDED.           |                |          |  |            | / | E-Mail: design@apexbuildingsystems.com Excellence in Industrial Developments   | PENRITH CITY COUNCIL                        |                        |  |

| CTR FASCIA  |                     |
|---|---------------------|
| 0 gg  |                     |
| 82.63   |                     |
|   |                     |
|   |                     |
|   |                     |
|   |                     |
|   |                     |
|   |                     |
|   |                     |
|   |                     |
|   |                     |
| CTR FASCIA  |                     |
|   |                     |
| 8203  |                     |
|   |                     |
| ·   |                     |
| TECHNICAL INVESTIGATION FOUND AN INFORMAL CAPPING LAYER OF VARYING DEPTHS   |                     |
| EA TO BE DEVELOPED. MATERIAL BELOW BUILDING AND RETAINING WALL FOUNDATIONS<br>UIRE ASSESSMENT DURING EXCAVATION BY A QUALIFIED GEOTECHNICAL SPECIALIST<br>RM THE FINAL CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING REQUIREMENTS. |                     |
|   |                     |
| PROPOSED SECTIONS   | SCALE               |
|   | @ A1<br>DRAWING No. |
| SYDNEY RECYCLING PARK   | 2064 / 004B         |
|   |                     |
|   |                     |

1200 400 200 ਤ ੈ д ≰





# Annexure E Permeability Test Field Data Sheets

| Project/job:                      | Date:   | $2 \left( 1 \right)$ |    |
|-----------------------------------|---------|----------------------|----|
| CES 110507 - WAE - 19             |         | 30/5/23              |    |
| Location:                         | Operate | or:                  |    |
| 16-23 Clifton Ave, hers Kah       |         | C.A.                 |    |
| Test site #:                      | =       |                      |    |
| BHI                               |         |                      |    |
| Depth of auger hole (D): 30       | С       |                      | cm |
| Depth of water in auger hole (H): | 20      |                      | cm |
| Average radius of auger hole (r): | 4.5     |                      | cm |
| Depth to any impermeable layer    | (S): N  | /A                   | cm |

Sparse Bare with some surrounding tall grass and trees Vegetation at test site: Time elapsed between first filling and start of measurement: ~ 20 Seconds Was soil wet, moist or dry at time of excavation? -test Lok way Dry saturated Plion to test. General comment about the site (indications of seasonal waterlogging, soil structure, biological pores, and so on). Soil, well drained Acopola 30° sonchic lay SLOPE regetated,

# PERMEAMETER AND TIME READINGS (Indicate whether time is read in minutes or seconds)

|                    | Test #)          |               |                    | Test #        |               |
|--------------------|------------------|---------------|--------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Time (min)         | Level in tube    | Drop of level | Time               | Level in tube | Drop of level |
| 0                  | 250              |               |                    |               |               |
| 25                 | 241              | ,4            |                    |               |               |
| 50                 | 242              | 4             |                    |               |               |
| 75                 | 239              | 3             |                    |               |               |
| 100                | 235              | 4             |                    |               |               |
| 125                | 231              | 4             |                    |               |               |
|                    |                  |               |                    |               |               |
|                    |                  |               |                    |               |               |
| Q =                | 5.0265 xm /m     | 2             | Q =                |               |               |
| K <sub>sat</sub> = | 2-826 × 10-6 m/s |               | K <sub>sat</sub> = |               |               |

#### FIGURE G3 SOIL PERMEABILITY FIELD RECORD SHEET – EXAMPLE

COPYRIGHT © Standards Australia and Standards New Zealand

| Project/job:                      | Date:     |    |  |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|----|--|
| CES110507-UAE-1P                  | 30/5/23   |    |  |
| Location:                         | Operator: |    |  |
| 16-23 Clifton Aver hours Gra      | CR.       |    |  |
| Test site #:<br>BH2               |           |    |  |
| Depth of auger hole (D): 4        | 3         | cm |  |
| Depth of water in auger hole (H): | 33        | cm |  |
| Average radius of auger hole (r): | 4.5       | cm |  |
| Depth to any impermeable layer    | (S): NAA  | cm |  |
|                                   |           |    |  |

| Vegetation at test site:                 | Bore with some su   | prounding tall                           | grass and s                            | nell ones/shrub                       |
|--|---|--|--|---------------------------------------|
| Time elapsed between fir                 | st filling and start of me                                      | easurement:                              | 20 sec                                 |                                       |
| Was soil wet, moist or dry               | / at time of excavation?  | nng -                                    | connencing                             | saturated before<br>testing           |
| General comment about pores, and so on). | the site (indications of s<br>top of a ~30° sb,<br>rell drained | easonal waterk<br>b-st (shr<br>Pe, (RER) | ogging, soil stru<br>b<br>Vefectul, Si | acture, biological<br>andy clay soil, |
|  | PERMEAMETER A   | ND TIME READ                             | DINGS                                  |                                       |

(Indicate whether time is read in minutes or seconds)

|                    | Test # <b>.</b> . |               |                    | Test #        |               |
|--------------------|-------------------|---------------|--------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Time (min)         | Level in tube     | Drop of level | Time               | Level in tube | Drop of level |
| 0                  | 75                |               |                    |               |               |
| 20                 | 64                | 11            |                    |               |               |
| 40                 | 54                | 10            |                    |               |               |
| 60                 | 49                | 5             |                    |               |               |
| 80                 | 44                | 5             |                    |               |               |
|                    | 6                 | 97<br>        |                    |               |               |
|                    |                   |               |                    |               |               |
|                    |                   |               |                    |               | 2             |
| Q =                | 15.708 cm3/m      | in            | Q =                |               |               |
| K <sub>sat</sub> = | 3.566 x10-6m/s    |               | K <sub>sat</sub> = |               |               |

FIGURE G3 SOIL PERMEABILITY FIELD RECORD SHEET - EXAMPLE

| Project/job:<br>CES 110507 - WAE-1P | Date: 30/5/23      |    |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|----|
| Location:<br>16-23 (lifton Ar,      | Operator:<br>( - / |    |
| Test site #:<br>B H 3               |                    |    |
| Depth of auger hole (D): 나o         |                    | cm |
| Depth of water in auger hole (H):   | 30                 | cm |
| Average radius of auger hole (r):   | 45                 | cm |
| Depth to any impermeable layer      | (S): /1/           | cm |
|                                     |                    |    |

Vegetation at test site:

Time elapsed between first filling and start of measurement: 🏂 🗲

Was soil wet, moist or dry at time of excavation?

Dry - Hok saturated plier

ed

General comment about the site (indications of seasonal waterlogging, soil structure, biological pores, and so on).

Foot of SGpe, level ground, no regetation, Sandy clay soil, moderately drained PERMEAMETER AND TIME READINGS

(Indicate whether time is read in minutes or seconds)

|                    | Test # . <b>.</b> | 157 :         |                    | 1   | Test #        |                |
|--------------------|-------------------|---------------|--------------------|-----|---------------|----------------|
| Time (min)         | Level in tube     | Drop of level | Time               |     | Level in tube | Drop of level  |
| 0                  | 52                |               |                    |     |               |                |
| 10                 | 47                | 5             |                    |     | ÷             |                |
| <i>}</i> 0         | 46                | - 1           |                    |     |               |                |
| чo                 | 46                | 0*            |                    |     |               |                |
|                    |                   |               |                    |     |               |                |
|                    |                   | -             | ¥                  | The | test did no   | the stabilise  |
|                    |                   | 2             |                    | du  | to a suspi    | ected leak in  |
|                    |                   |               |                    |     | the wat wa    | et reservar of |
| Q =                | 3.142 cm 3/min    |               | Q =                |     | the perm      | cameter. Q or  |
| K <sub>sat</sub> = | 8.477 x107ml      | r             | K <sub>sat</sub> = |     | kear val      | les are calcul |

FIGURE G3 SOIL PERMEABILITY FIELD RECORD SHEET - EXAMPLE